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#### Abstract

The equation of state suggested by the authors has been applied to 50 solids. The maximum pressure range and the minimum pressure range used in the present study are $0-4500 \mathrm{kbar}$ and $0-100 \mathrm{kbar}$, respectively. Very good agreement is found between the calculated and experimental values of $V\left(P, T_{0}\right) / V\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ for all the solids.


In general, an equation of state of matter is a relation between $P, V$ and $T$. However, the relation between $P$ and $V$, under a specific thermodynamic condition in particular is also taken to be an equation of state. If it is so, then a variety of equations of state in current use can either be expressed in or be derived from a power series expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i} Y^{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ and $Y$ are two variables under a specific thermodynamic condition. The various forms of $X$ and $Y$ used in the literature have been listed by Mao (1970) and Macdonald (1969). If all the $A_{i}$ coefficients are known, then all the equations of state under the same thermodynamic condition would be identical. In reality, only a limited number of parameters are determined. Hence, it becomes essential and necessary to truncate the series. Thus, it is the truncation which
(i) gives various orders of approximation,
(ii) differentiates one equation of state from the other and
(iii) introduces physically implausible implications in some instances.

Therefore, the validity of a particular equation of state, having a number of parameters, can only be judged by comparison with the experimental data over the specified pressure range. However, the smaller the number of the adjustable parameters required for the highest pressure range, the better is the equation of state. Note that the equations of state listed by Mao (1970) and Macdonald (1969) may be used up to a pressure of 100 kbar or so.

Therefore, the purpose of the present paper is to seek an equation of state which can be applied in the low-pressure as well as in the high-pressure region without using

Table 1. The values of $B_{T}\left(0, T_{0}\right), B_{T}^{\prime}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ and $Z$ along with the root mean square deviation at $T_{0}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All experimental data for $V\left(P, T_{0}\right) / V\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ are taken from Kennedy and Keeler (1972) except for Al (Rice et al 1958) and CsI and Xe (Zisman et al 1985).

| Sample | Solid | Pressure range (kbar) | $B_{7}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ (kbar) | $B_{T}^{\prime}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & Z \\ & \left(\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{kbar}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RMSD } \\ & \left(\text { of } V\left(P, T_{0}\right) /\right. \\ & \left.V\left(0, T_{0}\right)\right) \\ & \left(\times 10^{-4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cu | 0-4500 | 1431.93 | 4.332 | 1.234 | 5.22 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1625.35 | 4.426 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 2 | Mo | 0-3500 | 2703.69 | 3.722 | 1.326 | 2.77 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 2660.37 | 11.963 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 3 | Zn | 0-2500 | 622.70 | 4.936 | 2.002 | 4.96 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 597.91 | 4.880 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 4 | Ag | 0-2000 | 1075.48 | 5.113 | 1.989 | 3.37 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1208.83 | 2.484 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 5 | Pt | 0-2000 | 2821.74 | 4.872 | 1.438 | 2.76 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 2760.0 |  |  |  | Brandes (1983) |
| 6 | Ti | 0-2000 | 998.68 | 3.255 | 3.151 | 3.95 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1084.0 |  |  |  | Brandes (1983) |
| 7 | Ta | 0-1800 | 1989.68 | 3.581 | 2.326 | 2.91 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 2056.9 | 2.759 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 8 | Au | 0-1800 | 1859.51 | 4.776 | 1.868 | 4.53 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1710.0 |  |  |  | Brandes (1983) |
| 9 | Pd | 0-1600 | 1970.26 | 4.831 | 1.783 | 3.23 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1870.0 |  |  |  | Brandes (1983) |
| 10 | Zr | 0-1400 | 954.06 | 2.633 | 3.975 | 3.15 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 898.0 |  |  |  | Brandes (1983) |
| 11 | Cr | 0-1200 | 1907.22 | 4.754 | 2.901 | 6.90 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1602.0 |  |  |  | Brandes (1983) |
| 12 | Co | 0-1200 | 1968.48 | 4.180 | 2.868 | 2.59 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1815.0 |  |  |  | Brandes (1983) |
| 13 | Ni | 0-1200 | 1891.79 | 4.542 | 2.248 | 2.75 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1904.8 | 20.157 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 0-1200 | 2521.11 | 3.789 | 2.011 | 2.82 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 2504.1 | 4.00 |  |  | Anderson (1966) |
| 15 | Nb | 0-1000 | 1676.74 | 4.034 | 5.645 | 19.4 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1703.0 |  |  |  | Brandes (1983) |
| 16 | Cd | 0-1000 | 513.23 | 5.106 | 3.616 | 3.67 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 447.6 | 7.307 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 17 | Al | 0-1000 | 789.0 | 3.320 | 9.00 | 7.34 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 778.77 | 3.165 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 18 | Th | 0-1000 | 532.34 | 3.701 | 4.851 | 4.10 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 540.00 |  |  |  | Brandes (1983) |
| 19 | v | 0-1000 | 1589.66 | 3.585 | 2.441 | 2.94 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1580.0 |  |  |  | Brandes (1983) |
| 20 | In | 0-900 | 403.52 | 4.756 | 5.059 | 14.0 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 390.77 | 5.239 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 21 | MgO | 0-900 | 1487.08 | 5.519 | 3.587 | 2.44 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1691.80 | 3.95 |  |  | Anderson (1966) |
| 22 | Brass | 0-850 | 1179.65 | 4.495 | 3.528 | 3.04 | Present work |
| 23 | Be | 0-800 | 1200.12 | 3.469 | 4.732 | 2.46 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 1100.0 |  |  |  | Brandes (1983) |
| 24 | LiF | 0-800 | 634.12 | 4.432 | 4.888 | 2.91 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 627,85 | 6.818 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1971) |
| 25 | Pb | 0-750 | 449.70 | 4.696 | 5.097 | 3.28 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 399.82 | 6.76 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 26 | Sn | 0-600 | 442.16 | 4.900 | 5.294 | 2.96 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 549.20 | 3.651 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 27 | Mg | 0-550 | 350.05 | 3.684 | 8.699 | 3.41 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 344.20 | 4.16 |  |  | Anderson (1966) |
| 28 | CsBr | 0-550 | 224.19 | 3.575 | 10.18 | 3.65 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 143.97 | 5.32 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1971) |
| 29 | CsI | 0-532 | 111.31 | 6.084 | 32.67 | 40.5 | Present work |

Table 1 continued.

| Sample | Solid | Pressure range (kbar) | $B_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ <br> (kbar) | $B_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & Z \\ & \left(\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{kbar}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | RMSD $\begin{aligned} & \left(\text { of } V\left(P, T_{0}\right) /\right. \\ & \left.V\left(0, T_{0}\right)\right) \\ & \left(\times 10^{-4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | Xe | 0-524 | 118.9 | 5.93 | 23.98 | 35.0 | Huang and Ruaff (1984) |
|  |  |  | 15.12 | 5.708 |  |  | Present work |
|  |  |  | 26.5 | 7.69 |  |  | Asaumi (1984) |
| 31 | Ca | 0-360 | 196.02 | 2.397 | 19.84 | 4.43 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 186.82 | 2.524 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 32 | Tl | 0-340 | 358.89 | 5.142 | 8.861 | 2.64 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 366.03 | 4.795 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1970) |
| 33 | LiI | 0-280 | 330.32 | 2.393 | 15.69 | 3.13 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 168.35 | 4.32 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1971) |
| 34 | LiBr | 0-240 | 222.75 | 4.172 | 14.66 | 3.05 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 242.7 | 3.50 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1971) |
| 35 | NaBr | 0-240 | 211.50 | 3.974 | 15.90 | 3.37 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 203.1 | 4.19 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1971) |
| 36 | NaI | 0-240 | 200.88 | 3.594 | 18.52 | 2.63 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 151.0 | 4.15 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1971) |
| 37 | KF | 0-240 | 125.28 | 4.809 | 7.201 | 4.43 | Present work |
| 38 | RbF | 0-240 | 153.28 | 4.265 | 16.70 | 3.35 | Present work |
| 39 | LiCl | 0-220 | 330.15 | 3.765 | 8.019 | 2.64 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 318.5 | 3.36 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1971) |
| 40 | Li | 0-200 | 107.62 | 3.274 | 26.43 | 2.98 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 119.8 | 3.55 |  |  | Felice and Trivisonno (1977) |
| 41 | Na | 0-200 | 61.77 | 3.43 | 29.16 | 5.15 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 62.0 | 3.50 |  |  | Vaidya et al. (1971) |
| 42 | KI | 0-180 | 96.15 | 4.042 | 23.74 | 3.40 | Present work |
| 43 | RbI | 0-180 | 96.80 | 4.060 | 24.89 | 3.15 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 106.40 | 5.05 |  |  | Anderson (1966) |
| 44 | RbBr | 0-160 | 78.83 | 4.319 | 23.47 | 3.12 | Present work |
| 45 | K | 0-140 | 31.63 | 3.144 | 48.42 | 5.76 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 34.00 | 2.99 |  |  | Vaidya et al (1971) |
| 46 | Rb | 0-140 | 21.45 | 3.188 | 51.96 | 7.97 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 26.6 | 3.23 |  |  | Vaidya et al (1971) |
| 47 | NaF | 0-140 | 466.74 | 3.942 | 22.26 | 2.76 | Present work |
|  |  |  | 467.4 | 5.18 |  |  | Vaidya and Kennedy (1971) |
| 48 | RbCl | 0-120 | 60.79 | 4.815 | 25.48 | 3.00 | Present work |
| 49 | As | 0-100 | 380.60 | 10.52 | 0.010 | 8.80 | Present work |
| 50 | Nd | 0-100 | 324.09 | 4.06 | 0.010 | 7.80 | Present work |

equation (1). The equation of state obtained is

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \left[V\left(P, T_{0}\right) /\right. & \left.V\left(0, T_{0}\right)\right]=-\left\{1 /\left[B_{T}\left(0, T_{0}\right) Z+B_{T}^{\prime}\left(0, T_{0}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& \times \llbracket \ln \left\{1+\left[B_{T}^{\prime}\left(0, T_{0}\right) / B_{T}\left(0, T_{0}\right) Z\right](1-\exp (-Z P))\right\} \rrbracket \\
& -Z P /\left[B_{T}\left(0, T_{0}\right) Z+B_{T}^{\prime}\left(0, T_{0}\right)\right] \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{0}$ is some reference temperature and $B_{T}^{\prime}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ is the first pressure derivative of the bulk modulus $B_{T}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$. Recently, this equation has been successfully applied in the case of NaCl and CsCl in the pressure range $0-400 \mathrm{kbar}$ and temperature range 2981073 K, by Kumari and Dass (1990, hereafter referred to as I).

The following points are to be noted here.
(i) As $P \rightarrow \infty, V\left(P, T_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0$.
(ii) The first part of the right-hand side of equation (2) represents the low-pressure compression whereas the second part represents the high-pressure compression.

Equation (2) has been obtained on the basis that the ratio of the second to first pressure derivative of the isothermal bulk modulus is a pressure-independent parameter, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial^{2} B_{T}(P, T) / \partial P^{2}\right]_{T} /\left[\partial B_{T}(P, T) / \partial P\right]_{T}=-Z \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Successive integration of equation (3) at the reference temperature $T_{0}$ gives rise to equation (2) along with the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{T}^{\prime}\left(P, T_{0}\right)=B_{T}^{\prime}\left(0, T_{0}\right) \exp (-Z P) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{T}\left(P, T_{0}\right)=B_{T}\left(0, T_{0}\right)+\left[B_{T}^{\prime}\left(0, T_{0}\right) / Z\right][1-\exp (-Z P)] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before making use of equation (2) in studying the volume compression data in solids, it will be appropriate at this juncture to justify the assumption taken in equation (3). Some justifications in this connection have already been discussed in I. However, some more points may be mentioned here which will validate the assumption.
(i) The calculations for $V\left(P, T_{0}\right) / V\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ in the pressure range $0-4500$ kbar agree very well with the experimental data for copper as the root mean square deviation (RMSD) obtained is $5.2 \times 10^{-4}$ (see table 1). Hence, these calculations clearly give confidence in the correctness of the assumption.
(ii) The present equation of state has been applied in case of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{D}_{2}$ to 25 kbar at 4.2 K . The values of $V\left(P, T_{0}\right) / V\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ and $B_{T}\left(P, T_{0}\right)$ obtained by us are found to be in very good agreement with the values reported by Anderson and Swenson (1974). Very good agreement for these properties in both the solids suggests the correctness of the assumption. These results are to be published later.
(iii) In the literature, we find the following relation given by Grover et al (1973):

$$
B_{T}(P, T)=B_{T}(0, T) \exp [\alpha(\Delta V / V(0, T))]
$$

where $\Delta V=V(0, T)-V(P, T)$ and $\alpha$ is a constant which has been identified as $B_{T}^{\prime}(0, T)$ (Kumari and Dass, 1987). This relation gives

$$
\left[\partial^{2} B_{T}(P, T) / \partial P^{2}\right]_{T} /\left[\partial B_{T}(P, T) / \partial P\right]_{T}=-1 / B_{T}(P, T)
$$

We believe that our assumption gives a better fit to the experimental data than that of Grover et al (1973).

Therefore, taking all these points into consideration along with the points discussed in I, we feel that the present assumption given in equation (3) is a good working assumption.

Hence equation (2) has been applied to the volume compression data of 50 solids. The values of the adjustable parameters $B_{T}\left(0, T_{0}\right), B_{T}^{\prime}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ and $Z$ obtained by fitting the compression data are reported in table 1 along with firstly the pressure range used in the present study and secondly the RmsD of $V\left(P, T_{0}\right) / V\left(0, T_{0}\right)$. Further, the values of $B_{T}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ and $B_{T}^{\prime}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ available from other workers are also reported in table 1 for comparison.

The following points are worth noting from table 1.
(i) The maximum pressure range and minimum pressure range used in the present study are 0-4500 kbar and 0-100 kbar, respectively.
(ii) In almost all cases, the present calculated values of $B_{T}\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ are in good agreement with the values given by other workers. However, there are a few exceptions.
(iii) The value of the RMSD obtained for $V\left(P, T_{0}\right) / V\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ in the present calculation for all the solids clearly suggests the success and the usefulness of the present equation of state.
(iv) Equations (5) and (4) can be used to calculate the pressure dependence of bulk modulus and its first pressure derivative, i.e. the values of $B_{T}\left(P, T_{0}\right)$ and $B_{T}^{\prime}\left(P, T_{0}\right)$. The calculations for these parameters are not reported to save space.
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